

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,097	02/27/2004	Kenichi Takano	HT03-030	7683	
75	7590 07/24/2006		EXAMINER		
STEPHEN B.		WATKO, JULIE ANNE			
28 DAVIS AVENUE POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2627		
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/789,097	TAKANO ET AL.						
Office Action Summary			Examiner	Art Unit					
			Julie Anne Watko	2627					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.130 munication. tatutory period wi will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this o ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on							
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or el	lection requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner	•						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* 8	see the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list o	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.					
Attachment	•								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040	4) Interview Summary	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)				mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	No(s)/Mail Date	,	6)						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-35, drawn to magnetic write heads, classified in class 360, subclass 125.
 - II. Claims 36-37, drawn to a method of making a magnetic write head, classified in class 29, subclass 603.07.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product, such as a product not involving trapezoidal walls.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Stephen Ackerman (Reg. No. 37761) on July 19, 2006, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,097

Art Unit: 2627

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie Anne Watko whose telephone number is (571) 272-7597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, noon to 10PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dwayne D. Bost can be reached on (571) 272-7023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Julie Anne Watko, J.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

July 19, 2006 JAW

Page 4